DRICE - Office Action

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEP 1 2 2005

In re application of:

Miller ET AL..

Serial No.: 10/780,410

Filed: 02/17/04

Title: Dynamically Re-configurable Internal

Combustion Engine

Art Unit: 3747

Examiner: KWON, John T.

Docket: DRICE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the USPTO (571) \$73-8300, on September 12th,

2005.

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 6/17/05, CONFIRMATION #1297

Commissioner for Patents M/S Amendments Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Examiner Kwon:

In response to the Office Action mailed June, 17th, 2005, rejecting claims 1-11, Applicant submits this Response:

Paragraphs arguments below in three sections ➣

1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112:

831-682-2446

To overcome the rejections under 112 as "claims 1-11 are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, .. claims are inferential and insufficiently positively recite structural features and relationships to support a clear structure for comparison with Part. ... difficult to determine with any degree of certainty, the precise structural combination intended to be covered" we have added some clarifications which also narrow the scope of the 1st and 2nd claim, thus affecting all the claims 1-11. These are all shown immediately below.

Э×ш